

Intermittent Mixing in High Rate Algal Ponds: Implications for Surfactant and Pathogen Removal

Farias, S.L.*, Rodrigues, J*., Ruas, G**., Serejo, M.L. *** and Boncz, M.A.*

*Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Faculty of Engineering, Architecture and Urbanism and Geography, Post-graduate Programme of Environmental Technology, Campo Grande-MS, Brazil. **São Paulo State University (UNESP), School of Engineering, Bauru, Brazil.

***Federal Institute of Education, Science, and Technology of Mato Grosso do Sul (IFMS), Brazil.

Highlights:

- The removal efficiency of surfactants and *E. coli* was statically equal under conditions with continuous and intermittent agitation;
- HRAPs effectively removed the pollutants under study, achieving removal rates above 90% for surfactants and over 98% for pathogens;
- Intermittent mixing also did not negatively impact biomass growth, obtaining concentrations of 0.9 \pm 0.5 gTSS L^-1;

Keywords: Microalgae-bacteria system; Wastewater treatment; Circular bioeconomy.

INTRODUCTION

High rate algal pond (HRAP) characterized by a shallow and open design is a prominent technology of microalgae-bacteria systems for wastewater treatment. Key features of HRAPs are their exceptional efficiency, operational simplicity, scalability, and the advantage of recovering wastewater nutrients as harvestable algal and bacterial biomass for valuable products such as biofertilizers (Craggs, Sutherland, et al., 2012).

Additionally, one of the advantages is the low operating cost. Compared to conventional systems like Activated sludge, HRAPs consume significantly less electricity and there are patented models with even lower power requirements at less than 2 W/m³ (Acién Fernández, Gómez-Serrano, et al., 2018). The use of paddlewheels that ensure the mixing of the culture, preventing sedimentation of microalgae/bacteria flakes and facilitating enhanced nutrient diffusion across the cellular boundary layer, is the process with the second highest demand for energy consumption in HRAPs (Sutherland and Ralph, 2020; Kohlheb, van Afferden, et al., 2020).

Given the importance of the HRAP system, it is crucial to explore configurations that enhance performance and address existing gaps to confirm its viability for large-scale application. A potential area for exploration involves different mixing strategies, with the challenge of maintaining a homogeneous exposure of algae to light, thereby ensuring efficient nutrient removal and the elimination of other pollutants, such as pathogens and surfactants.

In this context, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of intermittent mixing on the removal of surfactants and pathogens from domestic sewage, potentially reducing costs without compromising performance.













10th–14th November, 2024 Curitiba-Brazil

METHODOLOGY

The experimental set-up consisted of two High Rate Algal Pond type reactors (R1 and R2) of 40 L with an illuminated surface area of 0.35 m^2 and hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 5 days. The HRAPs were agitated by a submersible pump (Sarlo Better B650, Brazil) with a nominal flow rate of 650 L·h⁻¹, ensuring a recirculation velocity of $20 \pm 2 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. The operating configuration of the reactors was: R1 with constant agitation and R2 with agitation turned on in the period from 06:00 am to 06:00 pm. Secondary domestic wastewater was obtained from the septic tank of a sewage plant. A microalgae culture with 0.95 g L⁻¹ of total suspended solids (TSS), coming from an HRAP and nitrifyingdenitrifying activated sludge from a sewage treatment plant (WWTP), with 0.9 gTSS L⁻¹ were used as inocula.

The anionic surfactant concentration was determined according to the simplified methodology developed by Jurado, Fernández-Serrano, et al (Jurado, Fernández-Serrano, et al., 2006). *E. coli* was detected using Colilert® kits (IDEXX Laboratories, Westbrook, ME, USA). Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using the software/programming language R. ANOVA analysis of variance was applied, followed by Tuckey's hypothesis test, using a confidence level of 95%.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The experiment was conducted outdoors for 26 days, with average temperatures of $24.1 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C. In both reactors, the environmental conditions were quite similar, with pH levels greater than 9.0 and dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations around 5.0 mg L⁻¹, as shown in Table 1. Intermittent agitation provided equivalent conditions for microalgae growth, achieving total suspend solids (TSS) concentrations of 0.9 ± 0.5 gTSS L⁻¹. This indicates that the reactor maintained homogeneous conditions for microalgae cultivation, mainly due to the active agitation maintained during periods of sun exposure.

Table 1- Results of pH, Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration, cultivation broth temperature, biomass concentration and percentage of chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal in HRAPs with continuous mixing (R1) and with intermittent mixing (R2).

Reactors	all	OD	Temperature	TSS	COD removal
	рп	mg L ⁻¹	°C	g L-1	%
R1	9.7 ± 0.7	5.2 ± 1.0	23.7 ± 6	0.9 ± 0.5	59 ± 6
R2	9.9 ± 0.9	5.4 ± 0.9	23.3 ± 6	0.8 ± 0.6	50 ± 5

The obtained removals of anionic surfactants were statically similar (p > 0.05) in the two mixing conditions with 89 ± 7 and $90 \pm 6\%$ for R1 and R2, respectively (Figure 1a). The final effluent concentrations were below 2 mg L⁻¹ in both conditions tested. A similar result was obtained by Serejo, Farias, et al., (2020), whose removals varied between 90 and 97% when testing continuous and semicontinuous feeding regimes in Hraps of 20 L.













Figure 1- Removal efficiencies (average values and standard deviations): a) in percentages for surfactants (n=10); b) In logarithmic removal values (LRV) of Escherichia coli (n=5) in HRAPs R1- continuously mixed and R2-discontinuously mixed.

For pathogens, likewise, *E. coli* removals were significantly equal for both conditions, as show in Figure 1b. Under intermittent agitation (R2), the mean LRV (Log Removal Value) was 1.9 ± 0.2 , corresponding to a removal rate of 98.5 \pm 0.5%. A similar result was found by Butterworth and Fallowfield, (2024), in an experiment evaluating the effect of cessation of mixing for 10 days, in which there was no significant difference in the *E. coli* LRVs between HRAP mixed continuously and discontinuously.

Lower performance was obtained in the removal of organic matter with average COD-Res percentages of $59 \pm 6\%$ for condition R1 and $50 \pm 5\%$ for the reactor with discontinuous mixture –R2, without a significant difference between them (Table 1). The final COD concentrations obtained are within the typical outflow values for HRAPs and below the limits established by discharge guidelines (Kohlheb, van Afferden, et al., 2020).

This study highlights the advantages of the HRAP system over conventional systems in terms of efficiency and operating costs (energy consumption). The results achieved in removing surfactants and pathogens, along with biomass growth in the discontinuously mixed condition, suggest that intermittent mixing could be a viable, energy-saving alternative without compromising the overall performance of the system.













10th–14th November, 2024 Curitiba–Brazil

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS - This study was supported by: Fundação de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento do Ensino, Ciência e Tecnologia de Mato Grosso do Sul (Fundect)- Concession Agreement 103/2023; Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) for the PhD grant awarded to Sarah Farias (88887.494042/2020-00); Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais – FAPEMIG; Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia em Estações Sustentáveis de Tratamento de Esgoto – INCT ETEs Sustentáveis (INCT Sustainable Sewage Treatment Plants

REFERENCES

Acién Fernández, F. G., Gómez-Serrano, C., and Fernández-Sevilla, J. M. (2018) Recovery of Nutrients From Wastewaters Using Microalgae. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, **2**(September), 1–13.

Butterworth, S. and Fallowfield, H. (2024) Comparison of the wastewater treatment performance of continuously and discontinuously mixed high-rate algal ponds at Kingston on Murray. Water Science & Technology, **89**(3), 505–512.

Craggs, R., Sutherland, D., and Campbell, H. (2012) Hectare-scale demonstration of high rate algal ponds for enhanced wastewater treatment and biofuel production. Journal of Applied Phycology, **24**(3), 329–337.

Jurado, E., Fernández-Serrano, M., Núñez-Olea, J., Luzón, G., and Lechuga, M. (2006) Simplified spectrophotometric method using methylene blue for determining anionic surfactants: Applications to the study of primary biodegradation in aerobic screening tests. Chemosphere, **65**(2), 278–285.

Kohlheb, N., van Afferden, M., Lara, E., Arbib, Z., Conthe, M., Poitzsch, C., Marquardt, T., and Becker, M. Y. (2020) Assessing the life-cycle sustainability of algae and bacteria-based wastewater treatment systems: High-rate algae pond and sequencing batch reactor. Journal of Environmental Management, **264**(March 2019), 110459. [online] https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110459.

Serejo, M. L., Farias, S. L., Ruas, G., Paulo, P. L., and Boncz, M. A. (2020) Surfactant removal and biomass production in a microalgal-bacterial process: effect of feeding regime. Water Science and Technology, **82**(6), 1176–1183.

Sutherland, D. L. and Ralph, P. J. (2020) 15 years of research on wastewater treatment high rate algal ponds in New Zealand: discoveries and future directions. New Zealand Journal of Botany, $\mathbf{0}(0)$, 1–24.









